arXiv:0811.0129v3 [math.QA] 31 Mar 2009

MULTI-PARAMETER QUANTUM GROUPS AND QUANTUM SHUFFLES, (I)

NAIHONG HU*, YUFENG PEI†, AND MARC ROSSO

Abstract. In this article, we study the multi-parameter quantum groups defined by generators and relations associated with symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrices, together with their representations in the category $\mathcal{O}$. This presentation will be convenient for our later discussions. We present two explicit descriptions here: as a Hopf 2-cocycle deformation, and as the multi-parameter quantum shuffle realization of the positive part.

1. Introduction

In the early 90s last century, much work has been done on the multi-parameter deformations of the coordinate algebra of the general linear algebraic group. These deformations were firstly described in [5] and independently in [51]. These implied that multi-parameter deformations can be obtained by twisting the coalgebra structure [51] in the spirit of Drinfeld [9] or by twisting the algebra structure via a 2-cocycle on a free abelian group [5]. In fact, the original work of Drinfeld and Reshetikhin concerned only with quasitriangular Hopf algebras, but their constructions can be dualised to the case of co-quasitriangular Hopf algebras by Hopf 2-cocycle deformations [16, 48].

Benkart-Witherspoon [11, 12] investigated a class of two-parameter quantum groups $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ of type A arising from the work on down-up algebras [10], which were early defined by Takeuchi [56]. Bergeron-Gao-Hu [7, 8] developed the corresponding theory for two-parameter quantum orthogonal and symplectic groups, in particular, they studied the distinguished Lusztig's symmetries property for the two-parameter quantum groups of classical type. Recently, this fact has been generalized to the cases of Drinfeld doubles of bosonizations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type by Heckenberger in [26], that is, the study of Lusztig isomorphisms (only existed among a family of different objects) in the multi-parameter setting finds a beautiful realization model for

---

Date: March 31, 2009.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 81R50; Secondary 17B35.

[*] N.H., supported in part by the NNSFC (Grant 10728102), the PCSIRT and the SRFDP from the MOE, the National/Shanghai Priority Academic Discipline Programmes (Project Number: B407).

[†] Y.Pei, corresponding author, supported in part by the NNSFC (Grant 10571119) and the ZJNSF (Grant Y607136).

his important notion of Weyl groupoid defined in [27]. It should be pointed out that this is also a remarkable feature for the multi-parameter quantum groups in question that are distinct from the one-parameter ones familiar to us (see [47]).

Hu-Shi [34], Bai-Hu [6] did contributions to exceptional types G_2, E, respectively; Hu-Wang [35, 36], Bai-Hu and Chen-Hu-Wang further investigated the structure theory of two-parameter restricted quantum groups for types B, G_2, D and C at roots of unity, including giving the explicit constructions of convex PBW-type Lyndon bases with detailed information on commutation relations, determining the isomorphisms as Hopf algebras and integrals, as well as necessary and sufficient conditions for them to be ribbon Hopf algebras.

Another new interesting development is the work of Hu-Rosso-Zhang and Hu-Zhang [33, 37, 38] achieved for affine types $X_\ell^{(1)}$, where $X = A, B, C, D, E, F_4, G_2$. Of most importance among them are the following: (1) Drinfeld realizations in the two-parameter setting were worked out; (2) Axiomatic definition for Drinfeld realizations was achieved in terms of inventing τ-invariant generating function; (3) Quantum affine Lyndon bases were put forwarded and constructed for the first time; (4) Constructions of two-parameter vertex representations of level 1 for $X_\ell^{(1)}$ were obtained.

Using the Euler form, the first two authors [31] introduced a unified definition for a class of two-parameter quantum groups for all types and studied their structure. Shortly after, this definition was quoted in [13]. On the other hand, (multi)two-parameter quantum groups have been deeply related to many interesting work. For instance, Krob and Thibon [44] on noncommutative symmetric functions; Reineke [50] on generic extensions and degenerate two-parameter quantum groups of simply-laced cases, and the classifications of Artin-Shelt regular algebras [46].

In [52, 53], the third author found a realization of U_q^+, the positive part of the standard quantized enveloping algebra associated with a Cartan matrix by quantizing the shuffle algebra (see also [17, 23, 45]). It was mentioned that the supersymmetric and multi-parameter versions of U_q^+ (for a suitable choice of the Hopf bimodule) also can be treated in this uniform principle. From a more recent point of view, Andruskiewich and Schneider obtained remarkable results on the structure of pointed Hopf algebras arising from Nichols algebras (or say, quantum symmetric algebras as in [53]) and their lifting method [2, 3, 4].

In this paper, we study a class of multi-parameter quantum groups $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ defined by generators and relations associated with symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrices A, together with their representations in the category $\mathcal{O}$. In section 2, we show that $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be realized as Drinfeld doubles of certain Hopf subalgebras with respect to a Hopf skew-pairing $\langle\, , \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$, and as a consequence, it has a natural triangular decomposition.

Partially motivated by Doi-Takeuchi [16], Majid [48] and also Westreich [57] on Hopf 2-cocycle deformation theory, we construct an explicit Hopf 2-cocycle on $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ and use it to twist its multiplication to get the required multi-parameter quantum group $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$. In section 3, the representation theory of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ under the assumption that q_{ii} $(i \in I)$ are not roots of unity is described, which is the generalization of the corresponding one for two-parameter quantum groups of types A, B, C, D developed in [8] and [12]. We show that the Hopf skew-pairing $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate when restricted to each grading component. In section 4, using a non-degenerate τ-sesquilinear form on $U_{\mathbf{q}}^+$ (where τ is an involution automorphism of the ground field $\mathbb{K} \supset \mathbb{Q}(q_{ij \,|\, i,j \in\, I})$ such that $\tau(q_{ij}) = q_{ji},\ i, j \in I$), we prove that the positive part $U_{\mathbf{q}}^+$ of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ can be embedded into the multi-parameter quantum shuffle algebra $(\mathcal{F}, \star)$. It turns out that this realization plays a key role both in the study of PBW-bases of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ and the construction of multi-parameter Ringel-Hall algebras (see [49] for more details).

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ the set of integers, the set of non-negative integers, the set of positive integers, the set of complex numbers and the set of rational numbers, respectively.

2. Multi-parameter quantum group and Hopf 2-cocycle deformation

2.1. Let us start with some notations. For $n > 0$, define

$$(n)_v = \frac{v^n - 1}{v - 1}.$$

$$(n)_v! = (n)_v \cdots (2)_v (1)_v, \quad \textit{and} \quad (0)_v! = 1.$$

$$\binom{n}{k}_v = \frac{(n)_v!}{(k)_v!(n-k)_v!}.$$

The following identities are well-known.

$$(m+n)_v = (m)_v + v^m (n)_v, \tag{1}$$

$$\binom{m}{k}_v (m-k)_v = \binom{m}{k+1}_v (k+1)_v, \tag{2}$$

$$\binom{r}{k}_v \binom{k}{m}_v \binom{r-k}{n}_v = \binom{r-m-n}{k-m}_v \binom{m+n}{m}_v \binom{r}{m+n}_v, \tag{3}$$

$$\binom{n}{k}_v = v^k \binom{n-1}{k}_v + \binom{n-1}{k-1}_v = \binom{n-1}{k}_v + v^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_v, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_v v^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} a^{n-k} z^k = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - v z^k), \quad \forall \ \textit{scalar } a. \tag{5}$$

2.2. Assume that R is a field (char$R \neq 2$) with an automorphism τ. Let V be a R-vector space. A *τ-linear map* f on V is a function: $V \to R$ such that

$$f(av) = \tau(a)f(v), \qquad \text{for any} \ \ a \in R, \ v \in V.$$

A *τ-sesquilinear form* f on V is a function: $V \times V \to R$, subject to the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &f(x+y,z) = f(x,z) + f(y,z), \\ &f(x,y+z) = f(x,y) + f(x,z), \\ &f(ax,y) = \tau(a)f(x,y) = f(x,\tau(a)y), \qquad \forall\ a \in R, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y, z \in V$. If τ is the identity, f is an ordinary bilinear form on V. A τ-sesquilinear form f with $\tau^2 = \text{id}$ is called *τ-Hermitian form* if $\tau(f(x,y)) = f(y,x)$ for any $x, y \in V$. If $\tau = \text{id}$, f is a symmetric bilinear form on V.

2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}_A$ be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be an associated generalized Cartan matrix. Let d_i be relatively prime positive integers such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for $i, j \in I$. Let Φ be the root system, $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ a set of simple roots, $Q = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ the root lattice, and then with respect to Π, we have Φ^+ the system of positive roots, $Q^+ = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_+\alpha_i$ the positive root lattice, Λ the weight lattice, and Λ^+ the set of dominant weights. Let q_{ij} be indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(q_{ij} \mid i,j \in I)$ be the fraction field of polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[q_{ij} \mid i,j \in I]$ such that

$$q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ii}^{a_{ij}}. \tag{6}$$

Let $\mathbb{K} \supset \mathbb{Q}(q_{ij \mid i,j \in\ I})$ be a field such that $q_{ii}^{\frac{1}{m}} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Assume that there exists an involution $\mathbb{Q}$-automorphism τ of $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\tau(q_{ij}) = q_{ji}$. Denote $\mathbf{q} := (q_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$.

Definition 7. *The multi-parameter quantum group $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with 1 generated by the elements $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$, subject to the relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R1) \qquad \omega_i^{\pm1}\omega_j'^{\pm1} = \omega_j'^{\pm1}\omega_i^{\pm1}, \quad \omega_i^{\pm1}\omega_i^{\mp1} = \omega_i'^{\pm1}\omega_i'^{\mp1} = 1,\\
&(R2) \qquad \omega_i^{\pm1}\omega_j^{\pm1} = \omega_j^{\pm1}\omega_i^{\pm1}, \quad \omega_i'^{\pm1}\omega_j'^{\pm1} = \omega_j'^{\pm1}\omega_i'^{\pm1},\\
&(R3) \qquad \omega_i e_j \omega_i^{-1} = q_{ij} e_j, \qquad \omega_i' e_j \omega_i'^{-1} = q_{ji}^{-1} e_j,\\
&(R4) \qquad \omega_i f_j \omega_i^{-1} = q_{ij}^{-1} f_j, \qquad \omega_i' f_j \omega_i'^{-1} = q_{ji} f_j,\\
&(R5) \qquad [\, e_i, f_j \,] = \delta_{i,j}\frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1}(\omega_i - \omega_i'),\\
&(R6) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j e_i^k = 0 \quad (i \neq j),\\
&(R7) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k f_i^k f_j f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8. *The associative algebra $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Hopf algebra structure with the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i^{\pm 1} \otimes \omega_i^{\pm 1}, && \Delta(\omega_i'^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i'^{\pm 1} \otimes \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \\ &\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + \omega_i \otimes e_i, && \Delta(f_i) = 1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes \omega_i', \\ &\varepsilon(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) = \varepsilon(\omega_i'^{\pm 1}) = 1, && \varepsilon(e_i) = \varepsilon(f_i) = 0, \\ &S(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i^{\mp 1}, && S(\omega_i'^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i'^{\mp 1}, \\ &S(e_i) = -\omega_i^{-1} e_i, && S(f_i) = -f_i\, \omega_i'^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9. (1) *Assume that $q_{ij} = q^{d_i a_{ij}}$ $(i, j \in I)$. In this case, we denote $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A) := U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$, and*

$$U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)/(\omega_i' - \omega_i^{-1}) \simeq U_q(\mathfrak{g}_A),$$

where $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is the one-parameter quantum group of Drinfeld-Jimbo type [40].

(2) *Assume that $q_{ij} = r^{\langle j,i \rangle} s^{-\langle i,j \rangle}$, where*

$$\langle i, j \rangle := \begin{cases} d_i a_{ij} & i < j, \\ d_i & i = j, \\ 0 & i > j. \end{cases}$$

$U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is one of a class of two-parameter quantum groups introduced uniformly by Hu-Pei [31]*, which, owing to nonuniqueness of definitions for two-parameter quantum groups, have some overlaps with the former examples defined in such as* [7, 8, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 31, 34, 33] *and references therein.*

(3) *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_A$ is of finite type and $q_{ij} = q^{-u(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) - d_i a_{ij}}$, where u is a skew $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form on root lattice Q. Then $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is the multi-parameter quantum group $U_{q,Q}$ introduced by Hodge et al* [28, 29]*. Note that the Hopf dual objects of these quantum groups are isomorphic to those quantum groups discussed by Reshetikhin* [51] (*also see* [15]).

(4) *Assume that $q_{ij} = q^{d_i a_{ij}} p_{ij}$ where $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ such that $p_{ij} p_{ji} = 1, p_{ii} = 1$. Then $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ are the multi-parameter quantum groups $U_{q,P}$ introduced by Hayashi in* [25].

(5) *Assume that $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$, $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the multi-parameter quantum groups or their dual object studied by many authors* (*see* [1], [5], [14], *and references therein*).

Remark 10. *The definition of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ has appeared in* [18, 19]*. The positive part of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ has appeared in* [53]*. The Borel part of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ has appeared in* [43].

From now on, we always assume that q_{ii} are not roots of unity.

2.4. Note that $\tau : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ that is defined by $\tau(q_{ij}) = q_{ji}$ for $i, j \in I$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-automorphism of $\mathbb{K}$.

Lemma 11.
(1) *There is a τ-linear $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra automorphism Φ of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by*

$$e_i \mapsto f_i, \quad f_i \mapsto e_i, \quad \omega_i \mapsto \omega_i', \quad \omega_i' \mapsto \omega_i. \tag{12}$$

(2) *There is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra anti-automorphism Ψ of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by*

$$e_i \mapsto f_i, \quad f_i \mapsto e_i, \quad \omega_i \mapsto \omega_i, \quad \omega_i' \mapsto \omega_i'. \tag{13}$$

Proof. (2) is clear. (1) is due to the fact: The $\mathbf{q}$-Serre relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j e_i^k = 0,$$

is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ji}^k e_i^k e_j e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

2.5. It will be convenient to work with the algebra $\tilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by the same generators $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$, and subject to relations $(R1)$—$(R5)$ only (without Serre relations). We have the canonical homomorphism $\tilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$. We abuse the notations both for the corresponding elements in $\tilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$, which will be clear from the context. For any $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, set

$$u_{ij}^+ := \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j e_i^k, \tag{14}$$

$$u_{ij}^- := \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k f_i^k f_j f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 16. *Let $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$. Then*

$$\Delta(u_{ij}^+) = u_{ij}^+ \otimes 1 + \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}} \omega_j \otimes u_{ij}^+, \quad \Delta(u_{ij}^-) = u_{ij}^- \otimes \omega_i'^{1-a_{ij}} \omega_j' + 1 \otimes u_{ij}^-.$$

Proof. See Appendix A. □

2.6. Let $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{+}$ (respectively, $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{-}$) be the subalgebra of $U_{\mathbf{q}}$ generated by the elements e_i (respectively, f_i) for $i \in I$, $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{+0}$ (respectively, $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{-0}$) the subalgebra of $U_{\mathbf{q}}$ generated by $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$ (respectively, $\omega_i'^{\pm 1}$) for $i \in I$. Let $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{0}$ be the subalgebra of $U_{\mathbf{q}}$ generated by $\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$. Moreover, Let $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$ (respectively, $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}$) be the subalgebra of $U_{\mathbf{q}}$ generated by the elements $e_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$ (respectively, $f_i, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$). It is clear that $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{0}, U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 0}$ are commutative algebras. Similarly, we can define $\tilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{+}, \tilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{-}, \tilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{0}$, etc. For each $\mu \in Q$, we can define the elements ω_μ and ω'_μ by

$$\omega_\mu = \prod_{i \in I} \omega_i^{\mu_i}, \qquad \omega'_\mu = \prod_{i \in I} \omega_i'^{\mu_i}$$

if $\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \alpha_i \in Q$. For any $\mu,\ \nu \in Q$, we denote

$$q_{\mu\nu} := \prod_{i,j \in I} q_{ij}^{\mu_i \nu_j}$$

if $\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \alpha_i$ and $\nu = \sum_{j \in I} \nu_j \alpha_j$. Let

$$\deg e_i = \alpha_i, \quad \deg f_i = -\alpha_i, \quad \deg \omega_i^{\pm 1} = \deg \omega_i'^{\pm 1} = 0.$$

Then

$$U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} (U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm})_{\pm\beta},$$

where

$$(U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm})_{\pm\beta} = \left\{ x \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm} \,\middle|\, \omega_\mu x \omega_{-\mu} = q_{\mu\beta} x,\ \omega'_\mu x \omega'_{-\mu} = q_{\beta\mu}^{-1} x,\ \forall\ \mu \in Q \right\}.$$

2.7. **(Skew) Hopf pairings.** For $i \in I$, we define a linear form τ_i on $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}$ by

$$\tau_i(e_i \omega_\mu) = \frac{q_{ii}}{1 - q_{ii}}, \qquad \text{for all } \mu \in Q,$$

and

$$\tau_i(U_\nu^{\geq 0}) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } \nu \in Q \text{ with } \nu \neq \alpha_i.$$

For each sequence $J = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_l)$ of simple roots, let

$$\tau_J = \tau_{\beta_1} \cdots \tau_{\beta_l}, \quad \deg J = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_l.$$

and for $J = \varnothing$, $\tau_J = 1$. Then

$$\tau_i(e_J \omega_\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{q_{ii}}{1 - q_{ii}}, & \text{if } J = (\alpha_i), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $\mu \in Q$, let $k_\mu : U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{K}$ be the algebra homomorphism with

$$k_\mu(x K_\nu) = \varepsilon(x) q_{\nu\mu} \quad \text{for all } \nu \in Q \text{ and } x \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{+}.$$

Then we have for all sequences J of simple roots and all $\mu \in Q$,

$$k_\mu(e_J\omega_\nu) = \begin{cases} q_{\nu\mu}, & \text{if } J = \varnothing; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 17.
(1) *For all sequences J, J' of simple roots and all $\mu \in Q$, we have*

$$\tau_J(e_{J'}\omega_\mu) = \tau_J(e_{J'})$$

and if $\deg(J) \neq \deg(J')$*, then* $\tau_J = 0$.
(2) *For all $\mu, \nu \in Q$ and all sequences J of simple roots, we have*

$$k_\mu k_\nu = k_{\mu+\nu}, \qquad k_\mu \tau_J = q_{|J|\mu}\tau_J k_\mu.$$

Elements $f_J\omega'_\mu$ with all finite sequences J of simple roots and $\mu \in Q$ form a basis of $\widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$. Then there is a unique linear map $\psi : \widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0} \longrightarrow (U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0})^*$ with $\psi(f_J\omega'_\mu) = \tau_J k_\mu$ for all J and μ. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f_J\omega'_\mu f_{J'}\omega'_\nu) &= q_{|J'|\mu}\psi(f_{J+J'}\omega'_{\mu+\nu}) = q_{|J'|\mu}\tau_{J+J'}k_{\mu+\nu}, \\ \psi(f_J\omega'_\mu)\psi(f_{J'}\omega'_\nu) &= \tau_J k_\mu \tau_{J'} k_\nu = q_{|J'|\mu}\tau_{J+J'}k_{\mu+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

We have, for all J, J' and μ, ν,

$$\psi(f_J\omega'_\mu f_{J'}\omega'_\nu) = \psi(f_J\omega'_\mu)\psi(f_{J'}\omega'_\nu),$$

which implies that ψ is in fact an algebra homomorphism. Now we define a bilinear pairing $\langle\, ,\, \rangle : \ \widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0} \times U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$\langle y,\, x\rangle = \psi(y)(x) \quad \text{for all } \ y \in \widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0},\ x \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}.$$

Then we have for all J, J', μ and ν,

$$\langle f_{J'}\omega'_\mu,\, e_J\omega_\nu\rangle = \tau_{J'}k_\mu(e_J\omega_\nu).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\langle y\omega'_\mu,\, x\omega_\nu\rangle = q_{\nu\mu}\langle y,\, x\rangle.$$

and if $\mu,\, \nu \in Q$ with $\mu \neq \nu$, then

$$\langle y,\, x\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\mu,\ y \in (\widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\nu}.$$

Lemma 18. *For all $x,\, x_1,\, x_2 \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}$ and all $y,\, y_1,\, y_2 \in \widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$, we have*

$$\langle y_1y_2, x\rangle = \langle y_1 \otimes y_2,\, \Delta(x)\rangle, \qquad \langle y,\, x_1x_2\rangle = \langle \Delta(y),\, x_2 \otimes x_1\rangle.$$

Lemma 19. *For all $x \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}$ and $i \neq j \in I$, we have $\langle u_{ij}^-,\, x\rangle = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove $\langle u_{ij}^-,\, e_J\rangle = 0$ with $|J| = (1-a_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j$. We have $J = (\gamma, J')$ with $\gamma \in \{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}$ where J' is the sequence with $|J'| = |J| - \gamma$. Hence $|J| \neq |J'|$ and $|J'| \neq 0$. Then, by Lemma 16,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_{ij}^-,\, e_J\rangle &= \langle \Delta(u_{ij}^-),\, e_{J'} \otimes e_\gamma\rangle \\ &= \langle u_{ij}^- \otimes \omega_i'^{1-a_{ij}}\omega_j' + 1 \otimes u_{ij}^-,\, e_{J'} \otimes e_\gamma\rangle \\ &= \langle u_{ij}^-,\, e_{J'}\rangle\langle \omega_i'^{1-a_{ij}}\omega_j',\, e_\gamma\rangle + \langle 1,\, e_{J'}\rangle\langle u_{ij}^-,\, e_\gamma\rangle \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 20. *There exists a unique bilinear pairing* $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{\mathbf{q}} :\ U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0} \times U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{K}$ *such that for all* $x,\, x' \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}$, $y,\, y' \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$, $\mu,\, \nu \in Q$, *and* $i,\, j \in I$

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle y,\, xx'\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle \Delta(y),\, x' \otimes x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \\ &\langle yy',\, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle y \otimes y',\, \Delta(x)\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}, \\ &\langle f_i,\, e_j\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \delta_{ij}\frac{q_{ii}}{1-q_{ii}}, \\ &\langle \omega'_\mu,\, \omega_\nu\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = q_{\nu\mu}, \\ &\langle \omega'_\mu,\, e_i\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0, \\ &\langle f_i,\, \omega_\mu\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$ modulo the ideal generated by u_{ij}^- for any $i \neq j$, and by Lemma 19, we have a homomorphism $\bar{\psi} :\ U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0} \longrightarrow (U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0})^*$. Then we get a bilinear pairing of $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}$ and $U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}$ via $\langle y, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \bar{\psi}(y)(x)$. It is easy to see that the pairing satisfies all the properties as desired. □

For any two Hopf algebras A and B paired by a skew-dual pairing $\langle\, ,\rangle$, one may consider the Drinfeld double construction $\mathcal{D}(A, B, \langle , \rangle)$, which is a Hopf algebra whose underlying vector space is $A \otimes B$ with the tensor product coalgebra structure and the algebra structure defined by

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = \sum \langle S_B(b_{(1)}), a'_{(1)}\rangle\langle b_{(3)}, a'_{(3)}\rangle\, aa'_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)}b',$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, and whose antipode S is given by

$$S(a \otimes b) = (1 \otimes S_B(b))(S_A(a) \otimes 1).$$

Therefore we have

Corollary 21. $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ *is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double* $\mathcal{D}(U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\geq 0}, U_{\mathbf{q}}^{\leq 0}, \langle , \rangle_{\mathbf{q}})$.

2.8. **Triangular decomposition.** By the same argument as Coro. 2.6 in [7], we have

Corollary 22. *$U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a triangular decomposition:*

$$U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U_{\mathbf{q}}^{-} \otimes U_{\mathbf{q}}^{0} \otimes U_{\mathbf{q}}^{+}.$$

2.9. **Hopf 2-cocycle deformation.** Let $(H, m, \Delta, 1, \varepsilon, S)$ be a Hopf algebra over a field F. The bilinear form $\sigma : H \times H \to F$ is called a (left) Hopf 2-cocycle of H if

$$\sigma(a,1) = \sigma(1,a) = \varepsilon(a), \qquad \forall\, a \in H, \tag{23}$$

$$\sum \sigma(a_1, b_1)\sigma(a_2 b_2, c) = \sum \sigma(b_1, c_1)\sigma(a, b_2 c_2), \qquad \forall\, a, b, c \in H. \tag{24}$$

Let σ be a Hopf 2-cocycle on $(H, m, \Delta, 1, \varepsilon, S)$, σ^{-1} the inverse of σ under the convolution product. So, by [16], we can construct a new Hopf algebra $(H^\sigma, m^\sigma, \Delta, 1, \varepsilon, S^\sigma)$, where $H = H^\sigma$ as coalgebras, and

$$m^\sigma(a \otimes b) = \sum \sigma(a_1, b_1) a_2 b_2 \sigma^{-1}(a_3, b_3), \quad \forall\, a,\, b \in H, \tag{25}$$

$$S^\sigma(a) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(a_1, S(a_2)) S(a_3) \sigma(S(a_4), a_5), \quad \forall\, a \in H. \tag{26}$$

H and H^σ are called *twisted-equivalent*.

Consider the (standard) one-parameter quantum group $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ generated by E_i, F_i, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ and $K_i'^{\pm 1} (i \in I)$ and satisfying the same relations as those in Definition 7 except that $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$ and q_{ij} are replaced by $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, K_i'^{\pm 1}$ and $q^{d_i a_{ij}}$, respectively.

Assume $q_{ii} = q^{2d_i}$ $(i \in I)$. Next we shall show that $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is twisted-equivalent to $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$.

Proposition 27. *Let $\sigma : U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A) \times U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A) \to \mathbb{K}$ be a bilinear form on $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ defined by*

$$\sigma(x,y) = \begin{cases} q_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}}, & x = K_\mu \ \ \textit{or} \ \ K'_\mu, \quad y = K_\nu \ \ \textit{or} \ \ K'_\nu, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Then σ is a Hopf 2-cocycle of $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$.

Proof. Let x, y, z be any homogenous elements in $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$. If $x, y, z \in U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$, it is easy to check that the cocycle conditions (23) and (24) hold. If $x \notin U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$, then we can assume $\Delta(x) = a \otimes b + \cdots$ such that $a \otimes b \notin U^0_{q,q^{-1}} \otimes U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$. Since $a \notin U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$ and $by_2 \notin U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$,

$$\sum \sigma(a, y_1)\sigma(b, y_2 z) = 0.$$

Hence, $\sum \sigma(x_1, y_1)\sigma(x_2 y_2, z) = 0$. Since $x \notin U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$,

$$\sum \sigma(y_1, z_1)\sigma(x, y_2 z_2) = 0.$$

Therefore, σ also satisfies the cocycle conditions (23) and (24). Similarly, if y or $z \notin U^0_{q,q^{-1}}$, we can show that σ satisfies the cocycle conditions. □

Theorem 28. *Let σ be the Hopf 2-cocycle defined in Proposition 27. Then we have the following Hopf algebra isomorphism:*

$$U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A) \simeq U^{\sigma}_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A),$$

where $U^{\sigma}_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is the Hopf algebra via the Hopf 2-cocycle deformation of $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$.

Proof. Denote $a * b := m^{\sigma}(a,b)$ for $a, b \in U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$. It suffices to check the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R^*1) && K_i^{\pm1} * K_j'^{\pm1} = K_j'^{\pm1} * K_i^{\pm1}, \quad K_i^{\pm1} * K_i^{\mp1} = K_i'^{\pm1} K_i'^{\mp1} = 1,\\
&(R^*2) && K_i^{\pm1} * K_j^{\pm1} = K_j^{\pm1} * K_i^{\pm1}, \quad K_i'^{\pm1} * K_j'^{\pm1} = K_j'^{\pm1} * K_i'^{\pm1},\\
&(R^*3) && K_i * E_j * K_i^{-1} = q_{ij} E_j, \qquad K_i' * E_j * K_i'^{-1} = q_{ji}^{-1} E_j,\\
&(R^*4) && K_i * F_j * K_i^{-1} = q_{ij}^{-1} F_j, \qquad K_i' * F_j * K_i'^{-1} = q_{ji} F_j,\\
&(R^*5) && E_i * F_j - F_j * E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1}(K_i - K_i'),\\
&(R^*6) && \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k E_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} * E_j * E_i^{*k} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),\\
&(R^*7) && \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k F_i^{k} * F_j * F_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2(K_i) &= K_i \otimes K_i \otimes K_i,\\
\Delta^2(K_i') &= K_i' \otimes K_i' \otimes K_i',\\
\Delta^2(E_i) &= E_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes K_i \otimes E_i,\\
\Delta^2(F_i) &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes F_i + 1 \otimes F_i \otimes K_i' + F_i \otimes K_i' \otimes K_i'.
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check (R^*1) and (R^*2). For (R^*3) and (R^*4):

$$\begin{aligned}
K_i * E_j &= \sigma(K_i, K_j) K_i E_j = \sigma(K_i, K_j) q^{d_i a_{ij}} E_j K_i\\
&= \sigma(K_i, K_j) q^{d_i a_{ij}} \sigma(K_j, K_i)^{-1} E_j * K_i\\
&= q_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{d_i a_{ij}} q_{ji}^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_j * K_i\\
&= q_{ij} (q_{ij} q_{ji})^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{d_i a_{ij}} E_j * K_i\\
&= q_{ij} (q_{ii})^{-\frac{a_{ij}}{2}} q^{d_i a_{ij}} E_j * K_i\\
&= q_{ij} q^{-d_i a_{ij}} q^{d_i a_{ij}} E_j * K_i\\
&= q_{ij} E_j * K_i,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_i' * E_j &= \sigma(K_i', K_j)K_i'E_j = \sigma(K_i', K_j)q^{-d_ia_{ij}}E_jK_i' \\ &= \sigma(K_i', K_j)q^{-d_ia_{ij}}\sigma(K_j, K_i')^{-1}E_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}q_{ji}^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}^{-1}(q_{ij}q_{ji})^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}E_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}^{-1}(q_{ii})^{\frac{a_{ij}}{2}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}E_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}^{-1}q^{d_ia_{ij}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}E_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}^{-1}E_j * K_i', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_i * F_j &= \sigma(K_i, K_j')^{-1}K_iF_j = \sigma(K_i, K_j')^{-1}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}F_jK_i \\ &= \sigma(K_i, K_j')^{-1}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}\sigma(K_j', K_i)F_j * K_i \\ &= q_{ij}^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}q_{ji}^{\frac{1}{2}}F_j * K_i \\ &= q_{ij}^{-1}(q_{ij}q_{ji})^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}F_j * K_i \\ &= q_{ij}^{-1}(q_{ii})^{\frac{a_{ij}}{2}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}F_j * K_i \\ &= q_{ij}^{-1}q^{d_ia_{ij}}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}F_j * K_i \\ &= q_{ij}^{-1}F_j * K_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_i' * F_j &= \sigma(K_i', K_j')^{-1}K_i'F_j = \sigma(K_i', K_j')^{-1}q^{d_ia_{ij}}F_jK_i' \\ &= \sigma(K_i', K_j')^{-1}q^{d_ia_{ij}}\sigma(K_j', K_i')F_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ij}^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{d_ia_{ij}}q_{ji}^{\frac{1}{2}}F_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}(q_{ij}q_{ji})^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{d_ia_{ij}}F_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}(q_{ii})^{-\frac{a_{ij}}{2}}q^{d_ia_{ij}}F_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}q^{d_ia_{ij}}F_j * K_i' \\ &= q_{ji}F_j * K_i'. \end{aligned}$$

For (R^*5):

$$E_i * F_j - F_j * E_i = E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1}(K_i - K_i').$$

For (R^*6):

$$E_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} * E_j * E_i^{*k} = q_{ii}^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{4}}q_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}-k}{2}}q_{ji}^{\frac{k}{2}}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k E_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} * E_j * E_i^{*k} \\
= \; & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k q_{ii}^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}-k}{2}} q_{ji}^{\frac{k}{2}} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^{\frac{k}{2}} q_{ji}^{\frac{k}{2}} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} (q_{ij}q_{ji})^{\frac{k}{2}} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q^{2d_i}} q^{d_i k(k-1+a_{ij})} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k \\
= \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For (R^*7): Since

$$F_i^{*k} * F_j * F_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} = q_{ii}^{\frac{(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ji}^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}-k}{2}} q_{ij}^{-\frac{k}{2}} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k \, F_i^{*k} * F_j * F_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} \\
= \; & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k q_{ii}^{\frac{(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ji}^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}-k}{2}} q_{ij}^{-\frac{k}{2}} \, F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ji}^{\frac{a_{ij}-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^{\frac{k}{2}} q_{ji}^{\frac{k}{2}} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ji}^{\frac{a_{ij}-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} (q_{ij}q_{ji})^{\frac{k}{2}} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ji}^{\frac{a_{ij}-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ii}^{\frac{a_{ij}k}{2}} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\
= \; & q_{ii}^{\frac{(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}}{4}} q_{ji}^{\frac{a_{ij}-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q^{2d_i}} q^{d_i k(k-1+a_{ij})} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\
= \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

3. Representation Theory

When $\mathfrak{g}_A$ is of finite type, we denote

$$q_{\mu\nu} = \prod_{i,j\in I} q_{ij}^{\mu\nu}$$

for $\mu = \sum_{i\in I} \mu_i\alpha_i,\ \nu = \sum_{i\in I} \nu_i\alpha_i \in \Lambda$. When $\mathfrak{g}_A$ is of affine type, let $I = \{0, 1, \cdots, l\}$ and $\Lambda = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i$ such that $\Lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i,\ j \in I$, where Λ_i is the ith fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{g}_A$. Let $q_{\Lambda_0\alpha_i},\ q_{\alpha_i\Lambda_0} \in \mathbb{K}$ $(i \in I)$ such that

$$q_{\Lambda_0\alpha_i} q_{\alpha_i\Lambda_0} = q_{ii}^{\delta_{i,0}}, \quad \forall\ i \in I. \tag{29}$$

Now we can define $q_{\mu\nu}$ for $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ as above.

3.1. Category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int}$.

Definition 30. *The category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int}$ consists of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$-modules $V^{\mathbf{q}}$ with the following conditions satisfied:*

(1) *$V^{\mathbf{q}}$ has a weight space decomposition $V^{\mathbf{q}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} V^{\mathbf{q}}_\lambda$, where*

$$V^{\mathbf{q}}_\lambda = \{v \in V^{\mathbf{q}} \mid \omega_i v = q_{\alpha_i\lambda} v,\ \omega'_i v = q^{-1}_{\lambda\alpha_i} v,\ \forall\ i \in I\}$$

and $\dim V^{\mathbf{q}}_\lambda < \infty$ *for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

(2) *There exist a finite number of elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t \in \Lambda$ such that*

$$\mathrm{wt}(V^{\mathbf{q}}) \subset D(\lambda_1) \cup \cdots \cup D(\lambda_t),$$

where $D(\lambda_i) := \{\mu \in \Lambda \,|\, \mu < \lambda_i\}$.

(3) *e_i and f_i are locally nilpotent on $V^{\mathbf{q}}$.*

The morphisms are taken to be usual $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$-module homomorphisms.

Lemma 31. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $i \in I$, we have*

$$q_{\alpha_i\lambda} q_{\lambda\alpha_i} = q_{ii}^{\lambda(h_i)}. \tag{32}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$q_{\alpha_i\Lambda_j} q_{\Lambda_j\alpha_i} = q_{ii}^{\Lambda_j(h_i)} = q_{ii}^{\delta_{ij}}, \quad \forall\ i,\ j \in I.$$

By (29), let $\lambda_j = \sum_{k\in I} x_{kj}\alpha_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\alpha_i\lambda_j} q_{\lambda_j\alpha_i} &= \prod_{k\in I} q_{ik}^{x_{kj}} \prod_{k\in I} q_{ki}^{x_{kj}} = \prod_{k\in I} (q_{ik}q_{ki})^{x_{kj}} \\ &= (q_{ii})^{\sum_{k\in I} a_{ik}x_{kj}} \\ &= q_{ii}^{\delta_{ij}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 33. *For any $i \in I$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \geq 1$, we have*

$$e_i f_i^m = f_i^m e_i + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^{m-1} \left((m)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i - (m)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i' \right), \tag{34}$$

$$e_i^m f_i = f_i e_i^m + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} e_i^{m-1} \left((m)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i - (m)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i' \right). \tag{35}$$

Proof. For $m = 1$, it is the relation $(R6)$. For $m > 1$, we have

$$e_i f_i^m = f_i^m e_i + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^{m-1} \left((m)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i - (m)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i' \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_i f_i^{m+1} &= f_i^m e_i f_i + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^{m-1} \left((m)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i - (m)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i' \right) f_i \\ &= f_i^m \left(f_i e_i + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} (\omega_i - \omega_i') \right) + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^m \left(q_{ii}^{-1} (m)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i - q_{ii} (m)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i' \right) \\ &= f_i^{m+1} e_i + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^m \left((m+1)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i - (m+1)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second equation holds. □

For each $i \in I$, let U_i be a subalgebra of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ generated by e_i, f_i, $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\omega_i'^{\pm 1}$.

Proposition 36. *Let $\phi : U_i^0 \to \mathbb{K}$ be a homomorphism of algebras. Denote*

$$\phi_i := \phi(\omega_i), \quad \phi_i' := \phi(\omega_i'), \quad v_j := f^j \otimes v_\phi \in M(\phi), \ j \geq 0.$$

Then

(i) *$M(\phi)$ is a simple U_i-module if and only if $\phi_i - q_{ii}^{-j} \phi_i' \neq 0$, $\forall\ j \geq 0$.*

(ii) *If $\phi_i' = \phi_i q_{ii}^{-m}$ for $m \geq 0$, then $M(\phi)$ has a unique maximal submodule*

$$N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{ v_j \mid j \geq m+1 \} \cong M(\phi - (m+1)\alpha_i).$$

(iii) *The simple U_i-module $L(\phi)$ is $(m+1)$-dimensional. Moreover, it is spanned by $v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_m$ such that*

$$\omega_i . v_j = \phi_i q_{ii}^{-j} v_j,$$

$$\omega_i' . v_j = \phi_i q_{ii}^{j-m} v_j,$$

$$f_i . v_j = v_{j+1}, \ (v_{m+1} = 0),$$

$$e_i . v_j = \phi_i q_{ii}^{-m+1} (m-j+1)_{q_{ii}} (j)_{q_{ii}} v_{j-1}, \quad (v_{-1} = 0).$$

(iv) *Any $(m+1)$-dimensional simple U_i-module is isomorphic to $L(\phi)$ for some ϕ.*

(v) *Let $\nu = \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \Lambda_i \in \Lambda^+$. Then $\hat{\nu}(\omega_i') = \hat{\nu}(\omega_i) q_{ii}^{-\nu_i}$ and U_i-module $L(\nu_i \Lambda_i)$ is $(\nu_i + 1)$-dimensional and $\phi_i = \hat{\nu}(\omega_i)$. Here $\hat{\nu} : U^0 \to \mathbb{K}$ is the algebra homomorphism such that $\hat{\nu}(\omega_i) = q_{\alpha_i \mu}$, $\hat{\nu}(\omega_i') = q_{\mu \alpha_i}^{-1}$, $\forall\ i \in I$.*

Proof. Similar to the argument of two-parameter cases (see [8]), in particular, for (v), by Lemma 31, we have

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i')}{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i)} = q_{\Lambda_i\nu}^{-1} q_{\nu\Lambda_i}^{-1} = q_{ii}^{-\nu(h_i)} = q_{ii}^{-\nu_i} = \frac{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i')}{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i)}, \quad \forall\ i \in I.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proposition 37. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Let $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ be an irreducible highest module with highest weight vector v_λ. Then*

$$f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda = 0, \quad \forall\ i \in I.$$

Proof. By Lemma 33,

$$\begin{aligned} e_i f_i^m . v_\lambda &= ((m)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} q_{\alpha_i\lambda} - (m)_{q_{ii}} q_{\lambda\alpha_i}^{-1}) f_i^{m-1} . v_\lambda \\ &= (m)_{q_{ii}} q_{\lambda\alpha_i}^{-1} (q_{ii}^{-m+1} q_{\alpha_i\lambda} q_{\lambda\alpha_i} - 1) f_i^{m-1} . v_\lambda . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 31,

$$e_i f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} . v_\lambda = 0.$$

By Lemma 33,

$$e_j f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} . v_\lambda = 0, \quad \forall j \neq i.$$

If $f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} . v_\lambda \neq 0$, then there exists a nontrivial submodule, contradicting the irreducibility of $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$. □

Corollary 38. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Let $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ be an irreducible highest module with highest weight vector v_λ. Let $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i \in Q^+$ such that $\lambda(h_i) \geq m_i$, $\forall\ i \in I$. Then for any $x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta}$, the map $x \mapsto x.v_\lambda$ is injective.*

Proposition 39. *Let $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ be an irreducible highest module with highest weight vector v_λ. Then $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ is integrable if and only if for every $i \in I$, there exists some N_i such that $f_i^{N_i} . v_\lambda = 0$.*

Proof. It is clear that e_i $(i \in I)$ are locally nilpotent on any highest weight module. It suffices to show that f_i $(i \in I)$ are locally nilpotent on $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$. Let $j \neq i$. We shall show that for $N \geq 1 - a_{ij}$,

$$f_i^N f_j \in \sum_{m+n=-a_{ij}, N+a_{ij} \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{K} f_i^m f_j f_i^n. \tag{40}$$

For $N = 1 - a_{ij}$, it is just $\mathbf{q}$-Serre relation $(R7)$. Assume for $N \geq 1 - a_{ij}$, the claim holds. For $N+1$, by induction,

$$f_i^{N+1} f_j \in \sum_{m+n=-a_{ij}, N+a_{ij} \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{K} f_i^{m+1} f_j f_i^n.$$

By $\mathbf{q}$-Serre relation $(R7)$,

$$f_i^{1-a_{ij}} f_j f_i^{N+a_{ij}} \in \sum_{s+t=1-a_{ij}, 1\le t\le 1-a_{ij}} \mathbb{K} f_i^s f_j f_i^{t+N+a_{ij}}.$$

Then (40) holds. For a sufficiently large N, $f_i^N y \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^- f_i^{N_i}$, $y \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^-$. Note that every element of $V^{\mathbf{q}}$ can be written in the form yv_λ, $y \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^-$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 41. *Let $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ be an irreducible highest module with highest weight vector v_λ. Then $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ belongs to category $\mathcal{O}_{int}^{\mathbf{q}}$ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.*

Proof. By Propositions 37 and 39, we get the "if" part. Now we shall show the "only if" part. It suffices to prove $(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) \ge 0$ for any $i \in I$. Since f_i is locally nilpotent on $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$, there exists some $m_i \ge 0$ such that $f_i^{m_i+1}.v = 0$ and $f_i^{m_i}.v \ne 0$ for $i \in I$. By Lemma 33 and $e_i.v = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = e_i f_i^{m_i+1}.v &= f_i^{m_i+1} e_i.v + \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^{m_i} \Big((m_i+1)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} \omega_i - (m_i+1)_{q_{ii}} \omega_i' \Big) v \\ &= \frac{q_{ii}}{q_{ii}-1} f_i^{m_i}.v \Big((m_i+1)_{q_{ii}^{-1}} q_{\alpha_i\lambda} - (m_i+1)_{q_{ii}} q_{\lambda\alpha_i}^{-1} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $q_{ii}^{m_i} = q_{\alpha_i\lambda} q_{\lambda\alpha_i}$. With the help of Lemma 31, we have $q_{ii}^{m_i} = q_{ii}^{\lambda(h_i)}$. Since q_{ii} $(i \in I)$ are not roots of unity, $\lambda(h_i) = m_i$. □

Lemma 42.

(1) *Let $y \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta}$ such that $[e_i, y] = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then $x = 0$.*
(2) *Let $x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_{\beta}$ such that $[f_i, x] = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then $x = 0$.*

Proof. Let $y \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta}$ such that $[e_i, y] = 0$ for all $i \in I$. By Corollary 38, we can choose a sufficiently large $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ such that

$$(U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta} \longrightarrow V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda), \quad u \mapsto u.v_\lambda$$

is injective. Here $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ is an irreducible highest module with highest weight vector v_λ. yv_λ generates a submodule of $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$. Since $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$ is irreducible, $yv_\lambda = 0$, which implies $y = 0$. Using the anti-automorphism Ψ of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ in Lemma 11, we can prove (2) directly. □

3.2. **Skew derivations.** By coproduct, we have

$$\Delta(x) \in \sum_{0\le\nu\le\beta} (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_{\beta-\nu}\omega_\nu \otimes (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\nu, \quad \text{for all } x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta,$$

For $i \in I$ and $\beta \in Q^+$, we can define the skew-derivations

$$\hat{\partial}_i,\ {}_i\hat{\partial} :\ (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta \longrightarrow (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_{\beta-\alpha_i}$$

such that

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + \sum_{i\in I} \hat{\partial}_i(x)\,\omega_i \otimes e_i + \text{the rest},$$
$$\Delta(x) = \omega_\beta \otimes x + \sum_{i\in I} e_i\,\omega_{\beta-\alpha_i} \otimes {}_i\hat{\partial}(x) + \text{ the rest},$$

where in each case "the rest" refers to terms involving products of more than one e_j in the second (resp. first) factor. Let

$$\partial_i := \frac{q_{ii}}{1-q_{ii}}\hat{\partial}_i, \quad {}_i\partial := \frac{q_{ii}}{1-q_{ii}}{}_i\hat{\partial}$$

Lemma 43. *For all $x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta$, $x' \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_{\beta'}$, and $y \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^-$, we have the following relations:*

(i) $\partial_i(xx') = q_{\alpha_i\beta'}\partial_i(x)\,x' + x\,\partial_i(x')$,
(ii) ${}_i\partial(xx') = {}_i\partial(x)\,x' + q_{\beta\alpha_i}x\,{}_i\partial(x')$,
(iii) $\langle f_iy,\, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle y,\, {}_i\partial(x)\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$,
(iv) $\langle yf_i,\, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle y,\, \partial_i(x)\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$,
(v) $f_ix - xf_i = \partial_i(x)\,\omega_i - \omega_i'\,{}_i\partial(x)$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check. □

Proposition 44. *For each $\beta \in Q^+$, the restriction of pairing $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ to $(U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta} \times (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta$ is nondegenerate.*

Proof. We use induction on β with respect to the usual partial order: $\beta' \le \beta$ if $\beta-\beta' \in Q^+$. The claim holds for $\beta = 0$, since $\langle 1,1\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 1$. Assume that $\beta \ge 0$, and the claim holds for all α with $0 \le \alpha < \beta$. Let $x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta$ with $\langle y,x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ for all $y \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_\beta$. In particular, we have for all $y \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta+\alpha_i}$ that

$$\langle f_iy, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0, \qquad \langle yf_i, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0, \qquad i \in I.$$

It follows from Lemma 43 (iii) and (iv) that

$$\langle f_iy, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle y,\, {}_i\partial(x)\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0, \qquad \langle yf_i, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = \langle y,\, \partial_i(x)\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} = 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have ${}_i\partial(x) = \partial_i(x) = 0$, and it follows from Lemma 43 (v) that $f_ix = xf_i$ for all i. Now Lemma 42 applies to give $x = 0$, as desired. □

3.3. By Proposition 44, we can take a basis $\{u_k^\beta\}_{k=1}^{d_\beta}$, $(d_\beta = \dim(U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta)$ of $(U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta$, and the dual basis $\{v_k^\beta\}_{k=1}^{d_\beta}$ of $(U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta}$. Then, for any $x \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\beta$ and $y \in (U_{\mathbf{q}}^-)_{-\beta}$,

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\beta} \langle v_k^\beta, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}\, u_k^\beta, \qquad y = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\beta} \langle y, u_k^\beta\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}\, v_k^\beta. \tag{45}$$

For $\beta \in Q^+$, let

$$\Theta_\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\beta} v_k^\beta \otimes u_k^\beta. \tag{46}$$

Set $\Theta_\beta = 0$ if $\beta \notin Q^+$.

$$\Theta = \sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_\beta. \tag{47}$$

Lemma 48. *For $i \in I$, $\beta \in Q^+$,*

(i) $(\omega_i \otimes \omega_i)\,\Theta_\beta = \Theta_\beta\,(\omega_i \otimes \omega_i), \qquad (\omega_i' \otimes \omega_i')\,\Theta_\beta = \Theta_\beta\,(\omega_i' \otimes \omega_i'),$

(ii) $(e_i \otimes 1)\,\Theta_\beta + (\omega_i \otimes e_i)\,\Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i} = \Theta_\beta\,(e_i \otimes 1) + \Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i}\,(\omega_i' \otimes e_i),$

(iii) $(1 \otimes f_i)\,\Theta_\beta + (f_i \otimes \omega_i')\,\Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i} = \Theta_\beta\,(1 \otimes f_i) + \Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i}\,(f_i \otimes \omega_i).$ □

Let $\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}_\beta = \sum_k S(v_k^\beta)u_k^\beta$, where S is the antipode. The quantum Casimir operator $\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}$ can be defined

$$\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} := \sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Omega^{\mathbf{q}}_\beta = \sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \sum_k S(v_k^\beta)u_k^\beta. \tag{49}$$

Note that $\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}$ is well-defined.

Lemma 50. *Let ψ be the automorphism of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ defined by*

$$\psi(\omega_i) = \omega_i, \quad \psi(\omega_i') = \omega_i', \quad \psi(e_i) = \omega_i'\omega_i^{-1}e_i, \quad \psi(f_i) = f_i\omega_i'^{-1}\omega_i.$$

Then

$$\psi(x)\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} = \Omega^{\mathbf{q}}x, \quad \forall\, x \in U_{\mathbf{q}}.$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check. □

Corollary 51. *For any $V \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$ and $v \in V_\lambda$, we have*

$$\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}\, e_i v = q_{ii}^{-(\lambda+\alpha_i)(h_i)} e_i\, \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} v, \quad \Omega^{\mathbf{q}}\, f_i v = q_{ii}^{\lambda(h_i)} f_i\, \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} v. \tag{52}$$

Proof. For any $v \in V_\lambda$ and $i \in I$, by Lemma 50,

$$\psi(e_i)\,\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v = \omega_i'\omega_i^{-1}e_i\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v = q^{-1}_{\alpha_i,\lambda+\alpha_i}q^{-1}_{\lambda+\alpha_i,\alpha_i}e_i\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.m = q_{ii}^{-(\lambda+\alpha_i)(h_i)}e_i\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v,$$

$$\psi(f_i)\,\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v = f_i\omega_i'^{-1}\omega_i\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v = q_{\alpha_i\lambda}q_{\lambda\alpha_i}f_i\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v = q_{ii}^{\lambda(h_i)}f_i\Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v.$$

This completes the proof. □

Note that the following fact:

$$q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ii}^{a_{ij}} = q_{jj}^{a_{ji}} = q_{ji}q_{ij}, \quad \forall\; i, j \in I,$$

and

$$d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}, \quad \forall\; i, j \in I.$$

Then

$$q_{ii}^{\frac{1}{d_i}} = q_{jj}^{\frac{1}{d_j}}, \quad \forall\ i,\ j \in I. \tag{53}$$

Let $t = q_{ii}^{\frac{1}{d_i}}$, $\forall\ i \in I$. For $V^{\mathbf{q}} \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$, we can define

$$\Xi^{\mathbf{q}} : V^{\mathbf{q}} \longrightarrow V^{\mathbf{q}}$$

such that

$$\Xi^{\mathbf{q}} v_\mu = g(\mu) v_\mu, \quad \text{for}\ \ v_\mu \in V^{\mathbf{q}}_\mu,\ i \in I, \tag{54}$$

where $g(\mu) = t^{\frac{(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}{2}}$.

Proposition 55. *For $V \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$, then the action of $\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}} : V^{\mathbf{q}} \longrightarrow V^{\mathbf{q}}$ commutes with the action of $U_{\mathbf{q}}$ on V.*

Proof. It suffices to check the result on generators. Then for $v \in V^{\mathbf{q}}_\mu$ and $i \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}(e_i.v) &= g(\mu+\alpha_i)\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} e_i.v \\
&= g(\mu+\alpha_i) q_{ii}^{-(\mu+\alpha_i)(h_i)} e_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= g(\mu+\alpha_i) g(\mu)^{-1} q_{ii}^{-(\mu+\alpha_i)(h_i)} e_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= t^{\frac{(\mu+\alpha_i+\rho,\mu+\alpha_i+\rho)}{2}} t^{-\frac{(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}{2}} q_{ii}^{-\frac{(\mu+\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{d_i}} e_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= t^{(\mu+\rho,\alpha_i)+d_i} t^{-(\mu+\alpha_i,\alpha_i)} e_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= t^{(\mu,\alpha_i)+2d_i} t^{-(\mu,\alpha_i)-2d_i} e_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= e_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}(f_i.v) &= g(\mu-\alpha_i)\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} f_i.v \\
&= g(\mu-\alpha_i) q_{ii}^{\mu(h_i)} f_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= g(\mu-\alpha_i) g(\mu)^{-1} q_{ii}^{\mu(h_i)} f_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= t^{\frac{(\mu-\alpha_i+\rho,\mu-\alpha_i+\rho)}{2}} t^{-\frac{(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}{2}} q_{ii}^{\frac{(\mu,\alpha_i)}{d_i}} f_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= t^{-(\mu,\alpha_i)} t^{(\mu,\alpha_i)} f_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v \\
&= f_i \Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v.
\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof. □

Lemma 56. *Let $\lambda,\ \mu \in \Lambda^+$. If $\lambda \geq \mu$ and $g(\lambda) = g(\mu)$, then $\lambda = \mu$.*

Proof. Since $\lambda \geq \mu$, we can assume that $\lambda = \mu + \beta$ for some $\beta \in Q^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= g(\mu), \\ t^{\frac{(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}{2}} &= t^{\frac{(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}{2}}, \\ t^{\frac{(\mu+\beta+\rho,\mu+\beta+\rho)}{2}} &= t^{\frac{(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}{2}}, \\ t^{(\mu+\beta,\beta)} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(\mu + \beta, \beta) = 0.$$

Because of $\mu \in \Lambda^+$, $\beta = 0$. □

Lemma 57. *Let $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda) \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$. Then the action of $\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}$ is the scalar*

$$g(\lambda) = t^{\frac{(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let v_λ be the highest weight vector of $V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$. Then

$$\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v_\lambda = g(\lambda) v_\lambda.$$

By Lemma 55, we have $\Omega^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \Xi^{\mathbf{q}}.v = g(\lambda)v, \quad \forall\, v \in V^{\mathbf{q}}(\lambda)$. □

By all above lemmas, similar to Lusztig [47] for the one-parameter ones, we have

Theorem 58. *Let $V^{\mathbf{q}} \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$. Then $V^{\mathbf{q}}$ is completely reducible.* □

3.4. ***R*-matrix.** Let $M,\ M' \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$. The map

$$p_{M,M'} :\ M \otimes M' \longrightarrow M \otimes M'$$

is defined by

$$p_{M,M'}(m \otimes m') = q_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\,(m \otimes m'), \quad \forall\, m \in M_\mu,\ m' \in M'_\nu. \tag{59}$$

We can take a basis $\{u_k^\beta\}_{k=1}^{d_\beta}$, ($d_\beta = \dim\,(U^+_{\mathbf{q}})_\beta$) of $(U^+_{\mathbf{q}})_\beta$, and the dual basis $\{v_k^\beta\}_{k=1}^{d_\beta}$ of $(U^-_{\mathbf{q}})_{-\beta}$. Then, for any $x \in (U^+_{\mathbf{q}})_\beta$ and $y \in (U^-_{\mathbf{q}})_{-\beta}$,

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\beta} \langle v_k^\beta, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}\, u_k^\beta, \qquad y = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\beta} \langle y, u_k^\beta\rangle_{\mathbf{q}}\, v_k^\beta. \tag{60}$$

Lemma 61. *Let $x \in (U^+_{\mathbf{q}})_\beta, y \in (U^-_{\mathbf{q}})_{-\beta} (\beta \in Q^+)$. Then*

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta} \sum_{i,j} \langle v_i^{\beta-\gamma} v_j^\gamma, x\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} u_i^{\beta-\gamma}\omega_\gamma \otimes u_j^\gamma, \tag{62}$$

$$\Delta(y) = \sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta} \sum_{i,j} \langle y, u_i^{\beta-\gamma} u_j^\gamma\rangle_{\mathbf{q}} v_j^\gamma \otimes v_i^{\beta-\gamma}\omega'_\gamma. \tag{63}$$

Denote $\Theta_\beta = \Theta_\beta^- \otimes \Theta_\beta^+$. By a direct computation, we have the following lemma

Lemma 64. *For any* $\eta \in Q^+$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta \otimes 1)\Theta_\eta &= \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \eta} (\Theta_{\eta-\gamma})_{23}(1 \otimes \omega'_\gamma \otimes 1)(\Theta_\gamma)_{13} \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\eta} \Theta^-_\beta \otimes \Theta^-_\gamma \omega'_\beta \otimes \Theta^+_\gamma \Theta^+_\beta,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes \Delta)\Theta_\eta &= \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \eta} (\Theta_{\eta-\gamma})_{12}(1 \otimes \omega_\gamma \otimes 1)(\Theta_\gamma)_{13} \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\eta} \Theta^-_\beta \Theta^-_\gamma \otimes \Theta^+_\beta \omega_\gamma \otimes \Theta^+_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Let M and $M' \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$. Define

$$\Theta^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'} : M \otimes M' \longrightarrow M \otimes M',$$

and $\Theta_\beta : M_\lambda \otimes M'_\mu \longrightarrow M_{\lambda-\beta} \otimes M'_{\mu+\beta}$, $\forall\ \lambda,\ \mu \in \Lambda$. Note that $\Theta^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}$ is well-defined.

Theorem 65. *Let* M *and* $M' \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$*. Then*

$$R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'} := \Theta^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'} \circ p_{M',M} \circ P : M \otimes M' \longrightarrow M' \otimes M \tag{66}$$

is an isomorphism of $U_{\mathbf{q}}$*-modules, where* $P : M \otimes M' \longrightarrow M' \otimes M$ *is the flip map such that*

$$P(m \otimes m') = m' \otimes m, \quad \forall\ m \in M,\ m' \in M'. \tag{67}$$

Proof. It is clear that $R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}$ is invertible. We shall show that

$$\Delta(x)R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}(m \otimes m') = R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}\Delta(x)(m \otimes m')$$

for any $x \in U_{\mathbf{q}}$, $m \in M_\lambda$ and $m' \in M'_\mu$. In fact, it suffices to check it for generators e_i, f_i, ω_i, ω'_i $(i \in I)$. Here we only check this for $f_i, i \in I$, similarly for e_i, ω_i, ω'_i. By Lemma 48 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f_i)R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}(m \otimes m') &= q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}\Delta(f_i)\Theta(m' \otimes m) \\ &= q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}(f_i \otimes \omega'_i)\big(\sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i})(m' \otimes m) + q^{-1}_{\mu,\lambda}(1 \otimes f_i)(\sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_\beta)(m' \otimes m) \\ &= q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}(\sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i})(f_i \otimes \omega_i)(m' \otimes m) + q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}(\sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_\beta)(1 \otimes f_i)(m' \otimes m) \\ &= q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}q_{\alpha_i\lambda}(\sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i})(f_i m' \otimes m) + q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}(\sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \Theta_\beta)(m' \otimes f_i m).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}\Delta(f_i)(m\otimes m') &= R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}(m\otimes f_im'+f_im\otimes\omega_i'm') \\ &= q^{-1}_{\mu-\alpha_i\lambda}\Theta(f_im'\otimes m)+q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda-\alpha_i}\Theta(\omega_i'm'\otimes f_im) \\ &= q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}q_{\alpha_i\lambda}(\sum_{\beta\in Q^+}\Theta_{\beta-\alpha_i})(f_im'\otimes m)+q^{-1}_{\mu\lambda}(\sum_{\beta\in Q^+}\Theta_\beta)(m'\otimes f_im). \end{aligned}$$

So the proof is complete. □

Corollary 68. *For any $M, M', M''\in Ob(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int})$, we have the following quantum Yang-Baxter equation:*

$$R^{\mathbf{q}}_{12}R^{\mathbf{q}}_{23}R^{\mathbf{q}}_{12}=R^{\mathbf{q}}_{23}R^{\mathbf{q}}_{12}R^{\mathbf{q}}_{23}.$$

The category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{q}}_{int}$ is a braided tensor category with the braiding $R^{\mathbf{q}}_{M,M'}$.

4. Quantum Shuffle Realization

4.1. τ-sesquilinear form on $U^+_{\mathbf{q}}$.

Proposition 69. *Let τ be an involution automorphism of $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\tau(q_{ij})=q_{ji}$, $\forall\ i$, $j\in I$. Then there exists a unique nondegenerate τ-bilinear form $(\ ,\ ): U^+_{\mathbf{q}}\times U^+_{\mathbf{q}}\to\mathbb{K}$ such that, for any $i\in I$ and $x,y\in U^+_{\mathbf{q}}$,*

$$(1,1)=1,\quad (xe_i,y)=(x,\partial_iy),\quad (e_ix,y)=(x,{}_i\partial y). \tag{70}$$

Proof. Let $(\ ,\ ): U^+_{\mathbf{q}}\times U^+_{\mathbf{q}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{K}$ defined by

$$(x,y):=\langle\Phi(x),y\rangle_{\mathbf{q}},\quad \forall\ x,y\in U^+_{\mathbf{q}},$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the skew Hopf pairing defined in Proposition 20 and Φ is the τ-linear automorphism of $U_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined in Lemma 11. Since Φ is τ-linear, $(\ ,\ )$ is τ-sesquilinear. By Lemma 43 (iii) and (iv), the condition (70) is satisfied. It is clear that $(\ ,\ )$ is unique and nondegenerate. □

Corollary 71. *Let $x\in U^+_{\mathbf{q}}$. If $\partial_ix=0$ for any $i\in I$, then $x\in\mathbb{K}$.*

4.2. **Quantum shuffle algebra.** Let $(\mathcal{F},\cdot)$ be the free associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with 1 with generators w_i $(i\in I)$. For any $\nu=\sum_i\nu_i\alpha_i\in Q$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\nu$ the $\mathbb{K}$-subspace of F spanned by the monomials $w_{i_1}\cdots w_{i_r}$ such that for any $i\in I$, the number of occurrences of i in the sequence $i_1,\cdots,i_r$ is equal to ν_i. Then $\mathcal{F}=\oplus_{\nu\in Q}\mathcal{F}_\nu$ with $\mathcal{F}_\nu$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space. We have $\mathcal{F}_\mu\mathcal{F}_\nu\subset\mathcal{F}_{\mu+\nu}$, $1\in\mathcal{F}_0$ and $w_i\in\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_i}$. An element x of $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be homogeneous if it belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\nu$ for some ν. Let $|x|=\nu$. $w[i_1,\cdots,i_k]:=w_{i_1}\cdots w_{i_k}$.

Definition 72. *The quantum shuffle product* $\star$ *on* $\mathcal{F}$ *is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &1\star x = x\star 1 = x, \quad \textit{for} \ \ x\in\mathcal{F},\\ &xw_i\star yw_j = (xw_i\star y)w_j + q_{\alpha_i,\nu+\alpha_j}(x\star yw_j)w_i, \end{aligned}$$

for $i,j\in I$ *and* $x\in\mathcal{F},\ y\in\mathcal{F}_\nu,\ \mu\in Q^+$.

Lemma 73. *For any* $i\neq j\in I$ *and* $m,l\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &w_i^{\star m}\star w_j\star w_i^{\star l}\\ = &\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{t=0}^{l} q_{ij}^{k}q_{ji}^{l-t}q_{ii}^{k(l-t)}\binom{m}{k}_{q_{ii}}\binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}}(m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t)_{q_{ii}}!w_i^{m-k+l-t}w_jw_i^{k+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Appendix B. □

Proposition 74. *For any* $i\neq j\in I$, *we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}}q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}q_{ij}^{k}w_i^{\star(1-a_{ij}-k)}\star w_j\star w_i^{\star k}=0. \tag{75}$$

Proof. See Appendix C. □

4.3. **Embedding.** We will adopt a similar treatment due to Leclerc [45] used in the one-parameter setting. For $w=w[i_1,\cdots,i_k]$, let $\partial_w := \partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_k}$ and $\partial_w = \mathrm{Id}$ for $w=1$. Next we introduce a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $\Gamma: U_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F},\star)$ defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \sum_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{F}\\ |w|=\mu}}\partial_w(x)w, \quad \forall\ x\in(U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\mu.$$

Lemma 76. Γ *is injective.*

Proof. Assume $\Gamma(x)=0$ for $x\in(U_{\mathbf{q}}^+)_\mu$. Then $\partial_w(x)=0$ for all $|w|=\mu$. By Corollary 71, we have $x=0$, which implies Φ is injective. □

Let $D_i\in\mathrm{End}(F)$ $(i\in I)$ defined as

$$D_i(1)=0, \quad D_i(w[i_1,\cdots,i_k]) = \delta_{i,i_k}w[i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}].$$

Lemma 77. *Each* D_i $(i\in I)$ *satisfies the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} &D_i(w_j)=\delta_{i,j},\\ &D_i(x\star y) = q_{\alpha_i\nu}D_i(x)\star y + x\star D_i(y) \end{aligned}$$

for any $y\in\mathcal{F}_\mu$ *and* $x\in\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let $x = x'w_k, y = y'w_l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_i(x \star y) &= D_i(x'w_k \star y'w_l) \\ &= D_i((x'w_k \star y')w_l + q_{\alpha_k\mu}(x' \star y'w_l)w_k) \\ &= \delta_{i,l}(x'w_k \star y') + \delta_{i,k}q_{\alpha_k\mu}(x' \star y'w_l) \\ &= \delta_{i,k}q_{\alpha_i\mu}(x' \star y'w_l) + (x'w_k \star D_i(y)) \\ &= q_{\alpha_i\mu}D_i(x) \star y + x \star D_i(y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 78. *For any* $x, y \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^+$, *we have* $\Gamma(xy) = \Gamma(x) \star \Gamma(y)$.

Proof. By Proposition 74, there exists a linear map $\Gamma' : U_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \star)$ such that

$$\Gamma'(e_i) = w_i, \quad \Gamma'(xy) = \Gamma'(x) \star \Gamma'(y)$$

for $i \in I$ and $x,\ y \in U_{\mathbf{q}}^+$. By Lemmas 20 and 77, $\Gamma'\partial_i = D_i\Gamma',\ \forall\ i \in I$. For $x \in U_\mu^+,\ \mu \in Q^+$ and $w = w[i_i, \cdots, i_k] \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$, let $\gamma_w(x)$ be the coefficient of w in $\Gamma'(x)$. Then

$$\gamma_w(x) = D_{i_1} \cdots D_{i_k}\Gamma'(x) = \Gamma\, \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_k}(x) = \partial_w(x).$$

Hence $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma'(x)$. □

5. APPENDIX

5.1. Appendix A: The proof of Lemma 16.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_{ij}^+) &= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k \Delta(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}-k}\Delta(e_j)\Delta(e_i)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}-k}{m}_{q_{ii}} \binom{k}{n}_{q_{ii}} (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k \\ &\quad \times (e_i^m\omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-k-m} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k-m})(e_j \otimes 1 + \omega_j \otimes e_j)(e_i^n\omega_i^{k-n} \otimes e_i^{k-n}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}-k}{m}_{q_{ii}} \binom{k}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k q_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}-k-m} q_{ii}^{n(1-a_{ij}-k-m)} (e_i^m e_j e_i^n \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}-k}{m}_{q_{ii}} \binom{k}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k q_{ji}^n q_{ii}^{n(1-a_{ij}-k-m)} (e_i^{m+n}\omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n}\omega_j \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k-m} e_j e_i^{k-n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}-k}{m}_{q_{ii}} \binom{k}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-k-m)} q_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}-m} (e_i^m e_j e_i^n \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}-k}{m}_{q_{ii}} \binom{k}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-k-m)} q_{ij}^{k} q_{ji}^{n} (e_i^{m+n} \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n} \omega_j \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k-m} e_j e_i^{k-n}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}-m-n}{k-n}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{m+n}_{q_{ii}} \binom{m+n}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-k-m)} q_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}-m} (e_i^m e_j e_i^n \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}-m-n}{k-n}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{m+n}_{q_{ii}} \binom{m+n}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-k-m)} q_{ij}^{k} q_{ji}^{n} (e_i^{m+n} \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-m-n} \omega_j \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k-m} e_j e_i^{k-n}) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \sum_{n=0}^{t} \binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{u+n} q_{ii}^{\frac{(n+u)(n+u-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-u-t)} q_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}-t+n} (e_i^{t-n} e_j e_i^n \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-t}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \sum_{n=0}^{t} \binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{u+n} q_{ii}^{\frac{(n+u)(n+u-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-u-t)} q_{ij}^{u+n} q_{ji}^{n} (e_i^{t} \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} \omega_j \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-u-t} e_j e_i^{u}) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} q_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \sum_{n=0}^{t} (-1)^n q_{ii}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-t)} q_{ij}^{n} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}} (-1)^u q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}} (e_i^{t-n} e_j e_i^n \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-t}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{n=0}^{t} (-1)^n q_{ii}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n(1-t)} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}} (-1)^u q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^{u} (e_i^{t} \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} \omega_j \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-u-t} e_j e_i^{u}) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} q_{ij}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \sum_{n=0}^{t} (-1)^n q_{ii}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n(1-a_{ij}-t)} q_{ij}^{n} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
&\quad \times \delta_{t,1-a_{ij}} (e_i^{t-n} e_j e_i^n \omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} \otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-t})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}}\sum_{n=0}^{t}(-1)^n q_{ii}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n(1-t)}\binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}}\binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}}\\
&\times\sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t}\binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}}(-1)^u q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}}q_{ij}^{u}(e_i^t\omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t}\omega_j\otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-u-t}e_je_i^u)\\
=&\sum_{n=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^n q_{ii}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}q_{ij}^{n}\binom{1-a_{ij}}{n}_{q_{ii}}(e_i^{1-a_{ij}-n}e_je_i^n\otimes 1)+\delta_{t,0}\sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}}\binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}}\\
&\times\sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t}\binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}}(-1)^u q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}}q_{ij}^{u}(e_i^t\omega_i^{1-a_{ij}-t}\omega_j\otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-u-t}e_je_i^u)\\
=&\,u_{ij}^{+}\otimes 1+\sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}}\binom{1-a_{ij}}{u}_{q_{ii}}(-1)^u q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}}q_{ij}^{u}(\omega_i^{1-a_{ij}}\omega_j\otimes e_i^{1-a_{ij}-u}e_je_i^u)\\
=&\,u_{ij}^{+}\otimes 1+\omega_i^{1-a_{ij}}\omega_j\otimes u_{ij}^{+}.
\end{aligned}
$$

5.2. **Appendix B: The proof of Lemma 73.** If $l=0$, we have

$$w_i^{\star m}=(m)_{q_{ii}}!w_i^m.$$

Assume that Lemma 73 holds for l. Then for $l+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&w_i^{\star m}\star w_j\star w_i^{\star l+1}\\
=&\sum_{k=0}^{m}q_{ij}^{k}\binom{m}{k}_{q_{ii}}\left\{\sum_{t=0}^{l}q_{ji}^{l-t}q_{ii}^{k(l-t)}\binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}}(m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t)_{q_{ii}}!(w_i^{m-k+l-t}w_jw_i^{k+t})\star w_i\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=0}^{l}q_{ji}^{l-t}q_{ii}^{k(l-t)}\binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}}(m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t)_{q_{ii}}!(w_i^{m-k+l-t}w_jw_i^{k+t})\star w_i\\
&=\sum_{t=0}^{l}q_{ji}^{l-t}q_{ii}^{k(l-t)}\binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}}(m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t)_{q_{ii}}!\times\\
&\quad\times\left\{(m-k+l-t+1)_{q_{ii}}q_{ji}q_{ii}^{k+t}w_i^{m-k+l-t+1}w_jw_i^{k+t}+(k+t+1)_{q_{ii}}w_i^{m-k+l-t}w_jw_i^{k+t+1})\right\}\\
&=\sum_{t=0}^{l}q_{ji}^{l-t+1}q_{ii}^{k(l-t)+k+t}\binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}}(m-k+l-t+1)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t)_{q_{ii}}!w_i^{m-k+l-t+1}w_jw_i^{k+t}\\
&\quad+\sum_{t=0}^{l}q_{ji}^{l-t}q_{ii}^{k(l-t)}\binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}}(m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t+1)_{q_{ii}}!w_i^{m-k+l-t}w_jw_i^{k+t+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t=-1}^{l} q_{ji}^{l-t} q_{ii}^{k(l-t)+t+1} \binom{l}{t+1}_{q_{ii}} (m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t+1)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{m-k+l-t} w_j w_i^{k+t+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=-1}^{l} q_{ji}^{l-t} q_{ii}^{k(l-t)} \binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}} (m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t+1)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{m-k+l-t} w_j w_i^{k+t+1} \\
&= \sum_{t=-1}^{l} q_{ji}^{l-t} q_{ii}^{k(l-t)} \left\{ q_{ii}^{t+1} \binom{l}{t+1}_{q_{ii}} + \binom{l}{t}_{q_{ii}} \right\} (m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t+1)_{q_{ii}}! \times \\
&\quad \times w_i^{m-k+l-t} w_j w_i^{k+t+1} \\
&= \sum_{t=-1}^{l} q_{ji}^{l-t} q_{ii}^{k(l-t)} \binom{l+1}{t+1}_{q_{ii}} (m-k+l-t)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t+1)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{m-k+l-t} w_j w_i^{k+t+1} \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{l+1} q_{ji}^{l-t+1} q_{ii}^{k(l-t+1)} \binom{l+1}{t}_{q_{ii}} (m-k+l-t+1)_{q_{ii}}!(k+t)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{m-k+l-t+1} w_j w_i^{k+t}.
\end{aligned}
$$

5.3. **Appendix C: The proof of Proposition 74.** By Lemma 73, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q_{ij}^k w_i^{\star(1-a_{ij}-k)} \star w_j \star w_i^{\star k} \\
= \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}-k}{m}_{q_{ii}} \binom{k}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
& \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+m(k-n)} q_{ij}^{k+m} q_{ji}^{k-n} (1-a_{ij}-n-m)_{q_{ii}}!(m+n)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{1-a_{ij}-n-m} w_j w_i^{m+n} \\
= \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{1-a_{ij}-m-n}{k-n}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{m+n}_{q_{ii}} \binom{m+n}{m}_{q_{ii}} \\
& \times (-1)^k q_{ii}^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+m(k-n)} q_{ij}^{k+m} q_{ji}^{k-n} (1-a_{ij}-n-m)_{q_{ii}}!(m+n)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{1-a_{ij}-n-m} w_j w_i^{m+n} \\
= \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \sum_{n=0}^{t} \binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
& \times (-1)^{n+u} q_{ii}^{\frac{(n+u)(n+u-1)}{2}+(t-n)u} q_{ij}^{u+t} q_{ji}^{u} (1-a_{ij}-t)_{q_{ii}}!(t)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} w_j w_i^{t} \\
= \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} q_{ij}^{t} \sum_{n=0}^{t} (-1)^n q_{ii}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \binom{t}{n}_{q_{ii}} \\
& \times \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t} \binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}} (-1)^u q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}} (q_{ii}^{t} q_{ij} q_{ji})^u (1-a_{ij}-t)_{q_{ii}}!(t)_{q_{ii}}! w_i^{1-a_{ij}-t} w_j w_i^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta_{t,0}\sum_{t=0}^{1-a_{ij}}\binom{1-a_{ij}}{t}_{q_{ii}} q_{ij}^{t}\sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}-t}\binom{1-a_{ij}-t}{u}_{q_{ii}}(-1)^{u}q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}}(q_{ii}^{t}q_{ij}q_{ji})^{u}\\
&\quad\times(1-a_{ij}-t)_{q_{ii}}!(t)_{q_{ii}}!w_i^{1-a_{ij}-t}w_jw_i^{t}\\
&= \sum_{u=0}^{1-a_{ij}}\binom{1-a_{ij}}{u}_{q_{ii}}(-1)^{u}q_{ii}^{\frac{u(u-1)}{2}}(q_{ij}q_{ji})^{u}(1-a_{ij})_{q_{ii}}!w_i^{1-a_{ij}}w_j\\
&= (1-a_{ij})_{q_{ii}}!w_i^{1-a_{ij}}w_j\prod_{n=0}^{-a_{ij}}(1-q_{ii}^{n}q_{ij}q_{ji})\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch and B. Enriquez, *Examples of compact matrix pseudogroups arising from the twisting operation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **149** (1992), 195–207.

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.J. Schneider, *Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices*, Adv. in Math., **154** (2000), 1–45.

[3] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, New Directions In Hopf Algebra, MSRI publications, **43** (2002), 1–68.

[4] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.J. Schneider, *A characterization of quantum groups*, J. reine angew. Math., **577** (2004), 81–104.

[5] M. Artin, W. Schelter, and J. Tate, *Quantum deformations of $GL(n)$*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **44** (1991), 879–895.

[6] X. Bai, N. Hu, *Two-parameter quantum groups of exceptional type E-series and convex PBW type basis*, arXiv.math.QA/0605179, Algebra Colloq., **15** (4) (2008), 619–636.

[7] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Drinfel'd doubles and Lusztig's symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups*, J. Algebra, **301** (2006), 378–405.

[8] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Representations of two-parameter quantum orthogonal groups and symplectic groups*, AMS/IP, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. **39**, pp. 1–21, 2007. arXiv math. QA/0510124.

[9] G. Benkart, S. J. Kang and K. H. Lee, *On the center of two-parameter quantum groups (of type A)*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edingburg Sect. A, **136** (3), (2006), 445–472.

[10] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *A Hopf structure for down-up algebras*, Math. Z., **238** (3) (2001), 523–553.

[11] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Drinfel'd doubles*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **7** (2004), 261–286.

[12] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Schur-Weyl duality*, Hopf Algebras, pp. 65–92, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **237**, Dekker, New York, 2004.

[13] S. Burciu, *A class of Drinfeld doubles that are ribbon algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (5), (2008), 2053–2078.

[14] W. Chin and I. Musson, *Multi-parameter quantum enveloping algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **107** (1996), 3485–3883.

[15] M. Costantini, M. Varagnolo, *Quantum double and multiparameter quantum group*, Comm. in Algebra, **22** (1994), 6305–6321.

[16] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, *Multiplication alteration by two-cocycles*, Comm. in Algebra, **22** (1994), 5715–5732.

[17] D. Flores de Chela and J. Green, *Quantum symmetric algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **4** (2001), 55–76.

[18] C. Fronsdal, *Generalization and exact deformations of quantum groups*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33** (1) (1997), 91–149.

[19] C. Fronsdal, *q-Algebras and arrangements of hyperplanes*, J. Algebra, **278** (2) (2004), 433–455.

[20] C. Fronsdal and A. Galindo, *The ideals of free differential algebras*, J. Algebra, **222** (1999), 708–746.

[21] F. Gavarini, *Quantization of Poisson groups*, Pacific J. Math., **186** (2) (1998), 217–266.

[22] J. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math., **120** (1995) 361–377.

[23] J. Green, *Quantum groups, Hall algebras and quantized shuffles*, in Finite reductive groups (Luminy, 1994), Progr. Math., **141**, Birkhäuser, (1997), 273–290.

[24] P. Grossé, *On quantum shuffle and quantum affine algebras*, J. Algebra., **318** (2) (2007), 495–519.

[25] T. Hayashi, *Quantum groups and quantum determinants*, J. Algebra, **152**, (1992), 146–165.

[26] I. Heckenberger, *Lusztig isomorphisms for Drinfel'd doubles of bosonizations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, arXiv:0710.4521.

[27] I. Heckenberger, *The Weyl groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type*, Invent. Math. **164** (1), (2006), 175–188.

[28] T.J. Hodges, *Non-standard quantum groups associated to Belavin-Drinfeld triples*, Contemp. Math., **214** (1998), 63–70.

[29] T.J. Hodges, T. Levasseur, M. Toro, *Algebraic structure of multi-parameter quantum groups*, Adv. in Math., **126** (1997), 52–92.

[30] J. Hong, S. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **42**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 2002.

[31] N. Hu, Y. Pei, *Notes on two-parameter groups (I)*, Sci. in China, Ser. A, **51** (6) (2008), 1101–1110. math.QA/0702298.

[32] N. Hu, Y. Pei, M. Rosso, *Notes on two-parameter groups (II)*, preprint.

[33] N. Hu, M. Rosso, H. Zhang, *Two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$, Drinfeld realization and quantum affine Lyndon basis*, Comm. Math. Phys., **278** (2) (2008), 453–486.

[34] N. Hu, Q. Shi, *The two-parameter quantum group of exceptional type G_2 and Lusztig's symmetries*, Pacific J. Math., **230** (2) (2007), 327–346.

[35] N. Hu and X. Wang, *Convex PBW-type Lyndon bases and restricted two-parameter quantum groups of type B*, Preprint 2006–2008 (submitted).

[36] N. Hu and X. Wang, *Convex PBW-type Lyndon bases and restricted two-parameter quantum groups of type G_2*, Pacific J. Math. **241** (2) (2009) (to appear).

[37] N. Hu and H. Zhang, *Vertex representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebras* $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$: *the simply-laced cases*, Preprint 2006-2007.

[38] N. Hu and H. Zhang, *Vertex representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebras* $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$: *the nonsimply-laced cases*, Preprint 2006-2007.

[39] A. Jacobs, J.F. Cornwell, *Twisting* 2*-cocycles for the construction of new non-standard quantum groups*, J. Math. Phys., **38**, (1997), 5383–5401 .

[40] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.

[41] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J., **63**, (1991), 465–516.

[42] V. Kharchenko, *A quantum analog of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem*, Algebra and Logic, **38** (1999), 259–276.

[43] V. Kharchenko, *A combinatorial approach to the quantification of Lie algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **203** (2002), 191–233.

[44] D. Krob, J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions V: a degenerate version of* $U_q(gl_N)$, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., **9** (3-4) (1999), 405–430.

[45] B. Leclerc, *Dual canonical bases, quantum shuffles and q-characters*, Math. Z., **246** (4) (2004), 691–732.

[46] D.-M. Lu, J. H. Palmieri, Q.-S. Wu, and J. J. Zhang, *Regular algebras of dimension* 4 *and their* A_∞*-Ext-algebras*, Duke Math. J., **3**, (2007), 537–584.

[47] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.

[48] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1995.

[49] Y. Pei, *Multiparameter quantized enveloping algebras and their realizations*, Ph. D. thesis, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, 2007.

[50] M. Reineke, *Generic extensions and multiplicative bases of quantum groups at* $q = 0$, Representation Theory, **5**, (2001), 147–163.

[51] N. Reshetikhin, *Multiparameter quantum groups and twisted quasitriangular Hopf algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys., **20**, (1990), 331–335.

[52] M. Rosso, *Groupes quantiques et algébres de battage quantiques (Quantum groups and quantum shuffles)*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série 1, Matématique (C. R. Acad. Sci., Sér. 1, Math.) , **320**, (1995), 145–148.

[53] M. Rosso, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, Invent. Math., **133** (1998), 399–416.

[54] M. Rosso, *Lyndon words and universal R-matrices*, Lecture at M.S.R.I. (1999).

[55] M. Rosso, *Lyndon bases and the multiplicative formula for R-matrices*, (2002), preprint.

[56] M. Takeuchi, *A two-parameter quantization of* $GL(n)$, Proc. Japan Acad., **66** (1990), 112–114.

[57] S. Westreich, *Hopf algebras of type* A_n*, twistings and the FRT-construction*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **11**, (2008), 63–82.

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Minhang Campus, Dong Chuan Road 500, Shanghai 200241, PR China
E-mail address: nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Guilin Road 100, Shanghai 200234 PR China
E-mail address: peiyufeng@gmail.com

UFR de Mathématiques, Université Denis Diderot - Paris 7, 2 Place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France
E-mail address: rosso@math.jussieu.fr